

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/732,824	12/10/2003	Kenneth W. Bronson	Google-65 (GP-171-00-US)	6777		
26479 STRAUB & P	7590 04/14/200 OKOTYLO	8	EXAMINER			
620 TINTON AVENUE			SANDERS, AARON J			
BLDG. B, 2N TINTON FAL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2168			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/14/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
l	10/732,824	BRONSON ET AL.	
Ī	Examiner	Art Unit	
ı	AARON SANDERS	2168	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN COND	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendr	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	nust be filed within one of the following time
periods:	
A District and district and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second an	

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee bunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term ediptions. Use 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. Mathe proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 Mey proposed of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⋈ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

7.

Note in purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)

will not be entered, or b)

will be entered and an explanation or how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: ____ Claim(s) rejected: 1-40.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be enter	red
bed	se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessal	ry and
was	et earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

). L	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	_

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12	. Note the	attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) F	aper No(s)	
13	. Other: _							

/Tim T. Vo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Continuation of 3(a): The amendment to claims 1, 20, and 36 change the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 3(b) The amendment to claim 36 introduces the the possibility of new matter, that is, whether the "processor," "commnications interface," and "storage device" appear in the specification.